Rotherham MBC Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Assessment of CIL Funding Application

PART 1 – ELIGIBILITY FOR CIL FUNDING

ALL 7 GATEWAY ELIGIBILITY TESTS PASSED (Qu 1-7).	YES OR NO
······································	

If <u>YES</u> proceed to part 2

If <u>NO</u>, then further assessment is not required. Project is not suitable for CIL funding.

PART 2 – PROJECT OUTLINE

All sections of the Project Outline have	Yes	5	
been completed, demonstrating project clarity (Qu 8-14).	Limited	1	
	information	_	
Project completion date (Qu 12) – as to the timing of project impact and the	Within 5 years	5	
achievement of project benefits from April 2024. Given the annual rolling nature of CIL funding priority is given to earlier completion timescales.	Within 5-10 years	3	
Partnership – number of partners	2 or more	5	
involved in funding and delivering the	1	3	
project (Qu 14).	0	1	
TOTAL PROJECT OUTLINE SCORE			

PART 3 – DELIVERY ASSESSMENT

All required permissions and consents	In place and fully evidenced.	5	
(Q16) are in place.	Evidence provided that gives reasonable	3	
	certainty that these issues will be		
	resolved.		
	Not addressed.	0	
Required	In place and fully evidenced.	5	
infrastructure/investment/actions are in place (Q17).	Evidence provided that gives reasonable certainty that these issues will be resolved.	3	
	Not addressed.	0	
Maintenance requirements (Q18) are	In place and fully evidenced.	5	
in place.	Evidence provided giving reasonable certainty that these issues will be resolved.	3	
	Not addressed.	0	
TOTAL DELIVERY ASSESSMENT SCORE			

PART 4 – FUNDING ASSESSMENT

Tatal Duals at Cast and			
Total Project Cost and	Total Project Cost: £		
Amount of CIL required (Qu's	Total funding requested from CIL: £		
19 & 20).			
% of the Total Project Funding	Under 25%.	5	
required from CIL (Qu 20).	Under 50%.	3	
	Under 75%.	1	
The project cannot happen without CIL funding (Qu 21).	Project cannot happen without CIL funding.	5	
	Project can still happen without CIL funding.	1	
The project cannot attract sufficient funding from other	There are limited other funding sources for the project.	5	
sources (Qu 22).	Other / mainstream / Government funding sources are available for the project but there are limited prospects of accessing this funding.	4	
	Other / mainstream / Government funding available for the projects provide an alternative way of funding the project.	2	
Project cost is based on	Detailed and evidenced costings set out.	5	
costings exercises that have			
been undertaken and verified	Some information on costs provided but not fully	1	
(Qu 23).	evidenced.		
TOTAL FUNDING ASSESSMENT SCORE			

PART 5 – DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT

The main settlement(s) in the which will benefit from the project (Qu 24).	(For information for the overall CIL funding programme).		
Development sites/allocations will benefit	The project will benefit a number of different or a group of development sites/allocations.	5	
from the infrastructure project (Qu 25).	The project will benefit a single development site/allocation.	3	
Critical, essential, or desirable	Critical	5	
infrastructure (Qu 26).	Essential	4	
	Desirable	3	
Extent of Project Impacts (Qu	Borough Wide	5	
27).	Whole of the Rotherham Urban Area or a number of different settlements	4	
	One settlement	3	
TOTAL DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT SCORE			

PART 6 – PROJECT BENEFITS ASSESSMENT

Assessment as how the infrastructure project contributes to the following objectives which reflect priorities in the Rotherham Core Strategy, Rotherham Council Plan 2022-2025, the Social Value Charter for Rotherham and the Rotherham Climate Change Emergency. The benefits of the scheme should be clearly set out in the application form.

	e eleany set out in the application form.		
A: Healthy Communities	The project can demonstrate and evidence	5	
Reducing health inequalities	significant benefits against CIL objective A.		
and promoting healthier	The project is of limited benefit, little evidence is	1	
communities (helping to	provided to demonstrate benefits against CIL		
improve people's physical and	objective A		
mental health and well-being)			
(Qu 28).			
B: Green and Clean Growth	The project can demonstrate and evidence	5	
Tackling the climate	significant benefits against CIL objective B.		
emergency - reducing carbon			
emissions and safeguarding	The project is of limited benefit, little evidence is	1	
our natural environment	provided to demonstrate benefits against CIL		
(helping to deliver the	objective B.		
borough-wide net zero carbon			
emission target by 2040) (Qu			
29).			
C. Accessible Places	The project can demonstrate and evidence	5	
Improving movement and	significant benefits against CIL objective C.		
enabling better accessibility			
within and between	The project is of limited benefit, little evidence is	1	
settlements, and to	provided to demonstrate benefits against CIL		
opportunities & facilities,	objective C.		
particularly by public			
transport, walking and cycling			
(Qu 30).			
D: Inclusive Growth	The project can demonstrate and evidence	5	
Supporting economic growth	significant benefits against CIL objective D.		
that provides job, education,	с с ,		
& training opportunities for	The project is of limited benefit, little evidence is	1	
local people and improves	provided to demonstrate benefits against CIL		
conditions & opportunities for	objective D.		
local businesses (Qu 31).			
E: Sustainable Communities	The project can demonstrate and evidence	5	
Supporting safe and thriving	significant benefits against CIL objective E.		
communities, enabling people	The project is of limited benefit, little evidence is	1	
to start well (enabling every	provided to demonstrate benefits against CIL	-	
child to fulfil their potential),	objective E.		
live well and age well (Qu 32).			
Total Benefits Score			

PART 7: OVERALL SCORE

TOTAL ASSESSMENT	TOTAL SCORE
Project Outline	
Delivery Assessment	
Funding Assessment	
Development Assessment	
Project Benefits Assessment	
OVERALL ASSESSMENT TOTAL	